EXHIBIT 6

1		The Honorable Thomas S. Zilly		
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE			
10	STRIKE 3 HOLDINGS, LLC, a			
11	Delaware corporation,	No. 2:17-cv-01731-TSZ		
12	Plaintiff,	PLAINTIFF'S OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO DEFENDANT'S		
13	V.	FOURTH SET OF REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS		
14	JOHN DOE, subscriber assigned IP address 73.225.38.130,			
15	Defendant.			
16 17				
18	Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 36, Plaintiff Strike Three Holdings, LLC ("Plaintiff")			
19	hereby responds and objects to the Fourth Set of Requests for Production of Documents			
20	propounded by Defendant John Doe, subscriber assigned IP address 73.225.38.130			
21	("Defendant") as follows:			
22	PRELIMINARY	<u>STATEMENT</u>		
23	Plaintiff's responses to these Requests are based on its current knowledge,			
24	information, and belief. As Plaintiff is still in the initial stages of discovery, additional			
25	knowledge of facts and information may result from further discovery or investigation. It is			
26	PLAINTIFF'S OBJECTIONS AND RESPONS TO DEFENDANT'S FOURTH SET OF REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS No. 2:17-cy-01731-TSZ = Page 1	ES Law Offices of Lincoln Bandlow, PC 1801 Century Park East, Suite 2400 Los Angeles, CA 90067 P: (310) 556-9680 F: (310) 861-5550		

possible that there are additional facts which Plaintiff has been unable to determine and additional documents which Plaintiff has been unable to locate despite diligent efforts, and Plaintiff anticipates that additional facts may be determined and that additional documents may be located through further discovery, investigation and research. Such discovery efforts are ongoing. Accordingly, Plaintiff reserves the right to identify additional facts and documents, as well as the right to amend or supplement its responses to these Requests as this case progresses. Plaintiff further reserves all objections as to competency, relevance, materiality, privilege, or admissibility of its responses herein and any document or thing identified in these responses.

OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 82:

Any and all communications by and between Strike 3 and "Sid" Singh, who was referenced in the depositions of Greg Lansky, Susan Stalzer, and John Pasquale.

RESPONSE:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Plaintiff objects on the basis that this request seeks documents that are neither relevant nor likely to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Plaintiff objects on the basis that this request seeks documents that fall under the attorney work product privilege and communications which are attorney client privileged. Plaintiff objects on the basis that this request seeks documents that are not proportional to the needs of the case. Plaintiff objects on the basis that this request is overly broad and unduly burdensome. Indeed, as written this request seeks any and all forms of Strike 3's communication with Sid regardless of whether the communication falls outside of any relevant time period or whether the communication even pertains to this case. Since the request is so overly broad, a host of responsive documents are not remotely related to any material fact at issue in this case.

PLAINTIFF'S OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO DEFENDANT'S FOURTH SET OF REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS No. 2:17-cv-01731-TSZ – Page 2 Law Offices of Lincoln Bandlow, PC 1801 Century Park East, Suite 2400 Los Angeles, CA 90067 P: (310) 556-9680 | F: (310) 861-5550 Plaintiff further objects on the basis that this request seeks confidential business information pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(c). The foregoing is confidential information which is not publicly known and provides both Strike 3 and Sid's company with a competitive advantage.

5

6

1

2

3

4

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 83:

7 8

Any and all documents in your possession providing the name of "Sid" Singh's company, its location, and contact information for Mr. Singh.

Plaintiff objects on the basis that this request seeks documents that are neither

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

RESPONSE:

relevant nor likely to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Plaintiff objects on the basis that this request seeks documents that fall under the attorney work product privilege and communications which are attorney client privileged. Plaintiff objects on the basis that this request seeks documents that are not proportional to the needs of the case. Plaintiff objects on the basis that this request is overly broad and unduly burdensome. Indeed, as written this request seeks any and all documents containing Sid's information and therefore includes potentially all of Strike 3's communication with Sid regardless of whether the communication falls outside of any relevant time period or whether the communication even pertains to this case. Since the request is so overly broad, a host of responsive documents are not remotely related to any material fact at issue in this case. Plaintiff further

objects on the basis that this request seeks confidential business information pursuant to

23

24

25

26

PLAINTIFF'S OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO DEFENDANT'S FOURTH SET OF REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS No. 2:17-cv-01731-TSZ – Page 3 Law Offices of Lincoln Bandlow, PC 1801 Century Park East, Suite 2400 Los Angeles, CA 90067 P: (310) 556-9680 | F: (310) 861-5550

Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(c).

1	DATED this 19 th day of June, 2019		
2		LAW OFFI LINCOLN	CES OF BANDLOW, PC
3		By: /s/ Linco	oln Bandlow
4		1801 Centur Suite 2400	Bandlow, Admitted Pro Hac Vice by Park East
5		Los Angeles Telephone: 3	s, CA 90067 310-556-9680
6		Facsimile: 3	10-861-5550 ln@bandlowlaw.com
7		THE ATKI	N FIRM, LLC
8		By: <u>/s/ <i>John</i></u>	C. Atkin
9		Email: jatkir	in, Admitted Pro Hac Vice n@atkinfirm.com
10		Morristown,	Avenue, Suite 400 New Jersey 07960
11		•	(973) 285-3239
13		ARETE LAW GROUP PLLC	
14			oller, WSBA #32021
15		1218 Third A	er@aretelaw.com Avenue, Suite 2100
16		Telephone: (Shington 98101 (206) 428-3250 (206) 428-3251
17		`	,
18		Attorneys fo	r Fiamilj
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26	PLAINTIFF'S OBJECTIONS AND RESPO	ONSES	Law Offices of Lincoln Bandlow, PC
ı	TO DEFENDANT'S FOURTH SET OF REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS No. 2:17-cv-01731-TSZ – Page 4		1801 Century Park East, Suite 2400 Los Angeles, CA 90067 P: (310) 556-9680 F: (310) 861-5550

DECLARATION OF ADRIENNE D. MCENTEE IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANT'S SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT - 40 CASE NO. 2:17-CV-01731-TSZ

1		
1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	
2		
3	I, Lincoln Bandlow, hereby certify that on June 19, 2019, a true and correct copy of	
4	the foregoing document was served via email to the following:	
5	Adrienne D. McEntee, WSBA #34061	
6	Beth E. Terrell, WSBA #26759 Email: bterrell@terrellmarshall.com	
7	amcentee@terrellmarshall.com	
8	936 North 34th Street, Suite 300 Seattle, Washington 98103-8869	
9	Telephone: (206) 816-6603 Facsimile: (206) 319-5450	
10		
11	J. Curtis Edmondson, WSBA #43795 Email: jcedmondson@edmolaw.com	
12	EDMONDSON IP LAW 399 NE John Olsen Avenue Hillsboro	
13	Oregon 97124	
14	Telephone: (503) 336-3749	
15	Attorneys for Defendant	
16		
17	DATED this 19 th day of June 2019 at Los Angeles, California.	
18	/s/ Lincoln Bandlow	
	Lincoln D. Bandlow	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26	PLAINTIFF'S OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO DEFENDANT'S FOURTH SET OF REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS No. 2:17-cv-01731-TSZ – Page 5 Law Offices of Lincoln Bandlow, PC 1801 Century Park East, Suite 2400 Los Angeles, CA 90067 P: (310) 556-9680 F: (310) 861-5550	